AFRL-SR-BL-TR-98-₹d REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2188 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Se sources, gathering of this collection of vis Highway, Suite information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, I 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED 2. REPORT DATE 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank) Final Report, 7/93 - 6/975. FUNDING NUMBERS 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE F49620-93-1-0576 Advanced Automatic Target Recognition ARPA Order A369 6. AUTHORS Professor Azriel Rosenfeld 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) REPORT NUMBER University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742-3275 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AGENCY REPORT NUMBER AFOSR/NM 110 Duncan Avenue, Room B-115 Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332-8080 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The grant supported research on techniques for recognizing targets in visible, infrared, laser radar, synthetic aperture radar and high-range aperture radar data. Empirical probability density functions of "probe values" gives rise to a method of estimating probability that a target of known shape is present. Two-stage Constant False Alarm rate detectors were introduced to reduce the FA rate in the presence of SAR speckled images. A complete algorithm for wide-area site models using polarimetric SAR was developed. Multi-scale methods were introduced into HRR classification with an improvement in performance. Operator-theoretic methods were successfully employed in the important area of detection and location of roads and nearby targets. DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED & 19980129 075 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS infrared, laser radar, radar data 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT

Unclassified

OF REPORT

Unclassified

Unclassified

UL



UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND AT COLLEGE PARK

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED COMPUTER STUDIES • CENTER FOR AUTOMATION RESEARCH

September 5, 1997

Memo to:

List below

From:

Center for Automation Research

University of Maryland

College Park, MD 20742-3275

Subject:

Final Report

Enclosed is the Final Report on Grant F49620-93-1-0576.

Distribution list:

- Administrative Contracting Officer AFOSR/PKA
 110 Duncan Avenue, Suite B115 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-0001
- Program Manager
 Dr. Jon A. Sjogren, AFOSR/NM
 110 Duncan Avenue, Suite B115
 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-0001
- Mr. George Lukes
 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency/ISO
 3701 North Fairfax Drive
 Arlington, VA 22203-1714
- cc: J. Weinstein, UMIACS B. O'Malley, ORAA

Final Report on Grant

F49620-93-1-0576

Submitted to: AFOSR/PKA

110 Duncan Avenue, Suite B115 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-0001

Submitted by: Center for Automation Research

University of Maryland

College Park, MD 20742-3275

Principal investigator: Azriel Rosenfeld

Period covered: July 1993—June 1997

September 5, 1997

Grant F49620-93-1-0576 was awarded to the University of Maryland in July 1993; it was funded by the DARPA University Program in Automatic Target Recognition (ARPA Order A369).

The grant supported research on techniques for recognizing targets and target-related features in visible, infrared (FLIR), laser radar (LADAR), synthetic aperture radar (SAR), real aperture radar (RAR), and high range resolution (HRR) radar data, as summarized in the following paragraphs.

1. FLIR and LADAR

A probe-based approach combined with image modeling has been used to recognize targets in passive Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) and laser radar (LADAR) imagery. A probe is a simple mathematical function which operates locally on pixel values and produces an output that is directly usable by an algorithm. An empirical probability density function of the probe values is obtained from a local region of the image, and used to estimate the probability that a target of known shape is present. Target shape information is obtained from threedimensional Computer Aided Design (CAD) models. Knowledge of the probe values along with probe probability density functions and target shape information allows the likelihood ratio between a target hypothesis and background hypothesis to be computed. The generalized likelihood ratio test is used to accept one of the target poses or to choose the background hypothesis. An image model for infrared images and the resulting recognition algorithm were tested on both real and synthetic FLIR imagery. An image model and recognition algorithm for resolved-range and ambiguous-range LADAR imagery were also formulated and tested. A fusion recognition algorithm using collocated passive infrared and laser radar sensors was developed, and experimental results for the fusion algorithm were compared with results from the separate FLIR and LADAR algorithms. An algorithm, based on probes, for the registration of imagery from different sensor types was also developed, and registration results were obtained for FLIR-LADAR, FLIR-SAR, and FLIR-TV sensors. FLIR-SAR registration was used to allow SAR cueing of the FLIR recognition algorithm, resulting in improved performance.

2. SAR

a) CFAR detection

Traditional Constant False Alarm Rate (CFAR) detection algorithms produce many false targets when applied to single-look, high-resolution, fully polarimetric SAR images, due to the presence of speckle. A two-stage CFAR detector followed by conditional dilation has been developed for the detection of point and extended targets in polarimetric SAR images. In the first stage, possible targets are detected and false targets due to the speckle are removed by using global statistical parameters. In the second stage, the local statistical parameters are used to detect targets in regions adjacent to targets detected in the first stage. Conditional dilation is then performed to recover target pixels lost in second stage CFAR detection.

The performance of a CFAR detector will be degraded if an incorrect statistical model is adopted and the data are correlated. A goodness-of-fit test has been used to decide the appropriate distribution and the effects of decorrelation of the data are considered. Good results were obtained when this method was applied to single-look, high-resolution, fully polarimetric SAR images acquired from MIT Lincoln Laboratory.

b) Wide-area site modeling

A complete algorithm has been developed for building an approximate 2D wide-area site model from high-resolution polarimetric SAR data. Site models are useful for image analysts to delineate regions of interest and assist in further processing of data. They can also be used to register a new SAR image to an existing one of the same site, a site model or a map. Building a wide-area site model involves three steps: Detection of man-made structures, statistical segmentation of data into homogeneous regions, and grouping of detection and segmentation results. CFAR processing of single-polarization data is used for detecting bright reflectors in spatially non-homogeneous clutter. At high resolutions, non-Rayleigh magnitude distributions, such as Weibull and K, seem to be better fits to the statistics of backscatter magnitudes in the CFAR reference windows. Order Statistic CFAR detectors for Weibull and K distributions are used for the detection of man-made structures. For segmentation purposes, a circular Gaussian density is used to model correlated fully polarimetric data. Typical parameters for features such as roads, shadows, vegetation, etc. are obtained using training areas. Maximum likelihood estimates of the region labels are obtained after removing the pixels detected as possible targets by the CFAR algorithms. Thereafter, algorithm knowledge of the sensor heading and other geometric cues are used to refine the initial segmentation, to group the results, and to extract man-made structures like buildings and their shadows, as well as roads, from the images. An offshoot of these algorithms is the identification of target clusters and other areas of interest, which can be used for effective compression of SAR data.

c) Registration

A technique has been developed for registering multiple high-resolution SAR images, acquired from Unmanned Air Vehicles (UAVs) and other airborne platforms. A global affine transformation derived from the sensor acquisition parameters is used to automatically register the images, followed by a refinement to correct for translational errors. The registered SAR images are used for improving the accuracy of segmentation maps, and estimating heights of objects and target orientation angles.

3. RAR

Methods of classifying real aperture radar (RAR) returns with multiscale features have been developed. To avoid the difficulties in RAR signature classification, multiscale spectral features are estimated by a hierarchical modeling approach. In an experiment with MUSTRS data, 86 percent of the returns were correctly classified.

4. HRR

Methods of classifying HRR radar returns using multiscale features have been developed. Multiscale features are computed by hierarchical modeling approaches, and are classified by a minimum distance classifier. The multiscale classifier has been applied to both poorly aligned data and better aligned data obtained from Air Force Wright Laboratory. For both data sets, about 95 percent of the radar returns were correctly classified, showing that the multiscale classifier is robust to misalignment.

5. Roads

Detection of roads provides context for the detection of relocatable targets, which are usually located on or near roads. A robust method of detecting straight or circular pieces of road in noisy low-resolution aerial images has been developed. It first uses a local operator to detect pixels whose neighborhoods are line-like, and then applies robust estimation techniques to find sets of such pixels that lie on or near straight or circular loci. An (unbiased) ordinary least squares estimator cannot handle outlying data even for straight loci; on the other hand, conventional robust techniques for fitting circular arcs are severely affected by digitization effects and the fact that circular road segments are typically short and shallow. A new estimator has been developed that is both robust and statistically efficient.

Publications

1. Technical reports

Sandor Z. Der and Rama Chellappa, Probe-Based Recognition of Targets in Infrared Images, CAR-TR-693, CS-TR-3174, November 1993.

Ying Wang, Rama Chellappa, and Qinfen Zheng, CFAR Detection of Targets in Fully Polarimetric SAR Images, CAR-TR-696, CS-TR-3177, November 1993.

- Sandor Z. Der, Automatic Target Recognition Using Passive Infrared and Laser Radar Sensors, CAR-TR-762, CS-TR-3448, March 1995.
- Kie B. Eom and Rama Chellappa, Classification of Millimeter Wave Radar Signatures by Hierarchical Modeling, CAR-TR-769, CS-TR-3467, May 1995.
- Kie B. Eom and Rama Chellappa, Non-Cooperative Target Classification by Hierarchical Modeling of High Range Resolution Radar Signatures, CAR-TR-775, CS-TR-3486, May 1995.
- S. Kuttikkad and Rama Chellappa, Building Wide Area 2D Site Models From High Resolution Polarimetric Synthetic Aperture Radar Images, CAR-TR-776, CS-TR-3488, June 1995.
- Nathan S. Netanyahu, Vasanth Philomin, Azriel Rosenfeld, and Arnold Stromberg, Robust Detection of Straight and Circular Road Segments in Noisy Aerial Images, CAR-TR-823, CS-TR-3631, April 1996.

Shyam Kuttikkad, Reuven Meth, and Rama Chellappa, Registration and Exploitation of Multi-Pass Airborne Synthetic Aperture Radar Images, CAR-TR-857, CS-TR-3787, April 1997.

2. Papers

- S.Z. Der and R. Chellappa, "Probe-Based Recognition of Targets in Infrared Images", Proceedings, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 870-875, 1994.
- S. Kuttikad and R. Chellappa, "Non-Gaussian CFAR Techniques for Target Detection in High-Resolution SAR Images", Proceedings, First International Conference on Image Processing, Vol. 1, pp. 910-914, 1994.
- S.Z. Der, E.J.M. Rignot, and R. Chellappa, "Statistical Characterization of FLIR, LADAR and SAR Imagery", in K.V. Mardia, ed., *Statistics and Images*, Carfax, Oxfordshire, U.K., pp. 273-312, 1994.
- S. Kuttikad and R. Chellappa, "Building Wide-Area 2-D Site Models from High-Resolution Fully Polarimetric Synthetic Aperture Radar Images", Proceedings, IEEE International Symposium on Computer Vision, pp. 389-394, 1995.
- R. Meth and R. Chellappa, "Automatic Classification of Targets in Synthetic Aperture Radar Imagery Using Topographic Features", Proceedings, SPIE Seminar on Algorithms for Synthetic Aperture Radar Imagery III (Volume 2757), pp. 186-193, 1996.
- K.B. Eom and Rama Chellappa, "Non-Cooperative Target Classification by Hierarchical Modeling of High Range Resolution Radar Signatures," Proceedings, SPIE AeroSense Conference, 1996.
- R. Meth and R. Chellappa, "Target Indexing in Synthetic Aperture Radar Imagery Using Topographic Features", Proceedings, International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, pp. 2154-2158, 1996
- S.Z. Der and R. Chellappa, "Probe-Based Recognition of Targets in Infrared Imagery", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 6, pp. 92-102, 1997.
- S. Kuttikkad, W. Phillips, S. Mathieu-Marni, R. Meth, and R. Chellappa, "Use of Context for False Alarm Reduction in SAR Automatic Target Recognition", Proceedings, DARPA Image Understanding Workshop, pp. 1091-1104, 1997.
- K.B. Eom and Rama Chellappa, "Non-Cooperative Target Classification Using Hierarchical Modeling of High Range Resolution Radar Signatures," to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 1997.

- R. Meth and R. Chellappa, "Stability and Sensitivity of Topographic Features for SAR Target Characterization", to appear in Proceedings, International Conference on Image Processing, 1997.
- S. Mathieu-Marni, S. Kuttikkad, and R. Chellappa, "Context-Aided False Alarm Reduction for SAR Automatic Target Recognition", to appear in Proceedings, International Conference on Image Processing, 1997.